

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO –111)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,712 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John W. Nichols", written over a horizontal line.

John W. Nichols
Clerk of the Panel

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

SCHEDULE CTO-111 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
ILLINOIS NORTHERN			
ILN	1	19-05905	Hestrup v. H.D. Smith, LLC et al Opposed 9/13/19
NEW JERSEY			
NJ	3	19-17138	COUNTY OF OCEAN, NEW JERSEY v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P. et al Opposed 9/16/19
NJ	3	19-17155	CITY OF TRENTON, NEW JERSEY v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P. et al Opposed 9/13/19
NEW YORK EASTERN			
NYE	1	19-04949	Amalgamated Union Local 450-A Welfare Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
NYE	1	19-04952	Drywall Tapers Insurance Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
NYE	1	19-04958	NOITU Insurance Trust Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
NYE	1	19-04961	Local 8A-28A Welfare Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
NYE	1	19-04964	Local 22 Health Benefit Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
NYE	2	19-04891	Allegany County v. Purdue Pharma Lp et al Opposed 9/18/19
NYE	2	19-04972	Nassau University Medical Center v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
NYE	2	19-04976	Laborers Local 1298 of Nassau & Suffolk Counties Welfare Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
OKLAHOMA EASTERN			
OKE	6	19-00291	Seminole, City of v. Purdue Pharma, LP et al Opposed 9/18/19
OKLAHOMA NORTHERN			
OKN	4	19-00485	Osage Nation, The v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
OKLAHOMA WESTERN			

~~OKW~~ 5 ~~19-00804~~ ~~Bethany City of v. Purdue Pharma LP et al~~ Opposed 9/18/19

PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN

PAE 2 19-03899 I-KARE TREATMENT CENTER, LLC v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P. et al
 PAE 5 19-03884 CITY OF ALLENTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA v. AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION et al

PUERTO RICO

PR 3 19-01814 Municipality of Caguas v. Purdue Pharma Inc. et al
 PR 3 19-01815 Municipality of Bayamon, Puerto Rico v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
 PR 3 19-01816 Municipality of Arroyo, Puerto Rico v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
 PR 3 19-01818 Municipality of Ceiba, Puerto Rico v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
 PR 3 19-01819 Municipality of Coamo, Puerto Rico v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
 PR 3 19-01821 Municipality of Villalba v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
 PR 3 19-01824 Municipality of Catano, Puerto Rico v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al

TENNESSEE EASTERN

~~TNE~~ 2 ~~19-00157~~ ~~Takoma Regional Hospital et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al~~ Opposed 9/18/19

TEXAS SOUTHERN

~~TXS~~ 4 ~~19-03299~~ ~~County of Williamson v. Walgreens Boots Alliance et al~~ Opposed 9/18/19